
# **Protein-Protein Docking**



Adapted from Dr. Cristina Elisa Martina and Dr. Amy Tran Presented By: Josuel Morel Rosetta Workshop Meiler Lab



### **Protein-Protein Interactions**





**Protein-Protein Interactions are essential** 

### Protein-Protein Docking in Rosetta

### Global Protein-Protein Docking

Short overview in this presentation

### Local Protein-Protein Docking

Overview and tutorial

#### SnugDock


For antibody/nanobody docking with increased flexibility at the CDR regions

#### **References:**

• Jeliazkov JR., et al., <u>"Robustification of RosettaAntibody and Rosetta SnugDock"</u>, 2021, PLOS One



## Protein-Protein Docking in Rosetta





# Protein-Protein Docking in Rosetta

### **Global Docking**


Global Docking is used when there is no information about the binding between two proteins, or when the binding is known but not the exact position on the proteins.

#### **Advantages:**

- No need of prior info about the proteins

#### **Limitations:**

- Only two partners are accepted
- Less accurate than the Local Docking
- Works best for small complexes (<450 aa)



### **Local Docking**


Local Docking is used when the interaction and the position of the two (or more) proteins is known.

#### **Advantages:**

- More accurate than the Global Docking
- Multiple partners accepted
- Can integrate experimental data

#### **Limitations:**

- Requires prior information about the binding site





Rosetta can perform global or local docking. In the tutorial we will do a local docking.

# Rosetta Protein Docking - Protocol

**Local Docking Protocol** 

Rosetta is very flexible

**Input Preparation** 

The general protocol can be adapted to different needs:

Stage 1 Low Resolution **Global Docking Protocol** 

Stage 0 - Randomization of the initial positions

Stage 1 - Low Resolution

Stage 2 - High Resolution

Stage 2
High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 



Rosetta performs first a low resolution docking and then a high resolution docking.

**Inputs Preparation** 

Stage 1
Low Resolution

Stage 2 High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 

#### 1- The PDB structure:

The two (or more) partners must be in the same pdb file, with different chain names, and within 10 Å distance at the binding pocket site.

The starting structure must be prepacked in order to lower the energy of the side-chains outside of the docking interface...

Extra steps might be required to prepare the partners:

- reducing size to reduce the calculation time
- closing breakchains / modeling loops
- preparing ensembles of conformers



The docking protocol requires a single pdb with all partners in a close distance.

**Inputs Preparation** 

Stage 1
Low Resolution

Stage 2 High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 

#### 2- The XML file:

The Rosetta protocol instruction file. We will see it more in detail in the next slides.

#### 3- Other files:

Other instructions (rather than the protocol itself) can be included to tune the Rosetta docking run. These might include the option file and the constraints file, usually used when experimental data is included in the run.



Experimental information can be included in the docking run.

**Input Preparation** 

Stage 1
Low Resolution

Stage 2 High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 

### Low Resolution Docking:

Centroid-mode / Coarse-grain

Side-chains are represented by spheres with similar properties (charge, size, ...).

In this stage, Rosetta attempts to find the rough orientation of the docking partners.

### **Advantages:**

- Faster calculation time

#### **Limitations:**

- Lower accuracy





The low-resolution stage define the initial orientation of the docking partners.

**Input Preparation** 

Stage 1 Low Resolution

Stage 2 High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 

### **High Resolution Docking:**

All-Atom / Full-Atom

Centroid residues are replaced with the side-chains atoms in unbound conformation.

Rotamers are tested and, if accepted, the complex is minimized and repacked.

### **Advantages:**

- More accurate than centroid-mode


#### **Limitations:**

- Requires higher calculation time





The high resolution stage includes minimization and repacking.





Rosetta performs multiple cycles for both the low- and the high-resolution stages.

**Input Preparation** 

Stage 1
Low Resolution

Stage 2 High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 

#### **General Protocol:**

At least 500 output structures should be requested for a local docking protocol (1000 recommended).

Not all the requested outputs will necessarily be generated: if one of the run fails at any stage of the protocol, the output will not be created and Rosetta will restart with the next run.



**Input Preparation** 

Stage 1 Low Resolution

Stage 2 High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 

### **Interface Analyzer:**

The Rosetta InterfaceAnalyzer mover can give you many information about the complex structure, including:

- the binding energy of the two partners
- the residues involved in the interaction
- the RMSD between the model and the native structure.

The Interface Analyzer mover is used after completation of the docking protocol, when all the outputs have been generated.

#### The requested inputs are:

- a list with all the output pdb (from docking)
- an XML file with the Interface Analyzer protocol
- the native strucutre for calculation of the RMSD
- other files (i.e. option file)



Interface Analyzer gives information about the binding interface.

**Input Preparation** 

Stage 1 Low Resolution


Stage 2
High Resolution

**Outputs Analysis** 

From the interface analyzer we can extract and plot:

- 1 RMSD vs Total Energy
- 2 RMSD vs Binding Energy

For both we expect a funnel-like plot, in which the lower scoring models should be close to the native sturcture in terms of energy and conformation.



All models
Top models
Native complex



RMSD vs Total Energy and RMSD vs Binding Energy should give a funnel-plot.

# Local Protein Docking - XML file

```
<ROSETTASCRIPTS>
        <TASKOPERATIONS>
                <InitializeFromCommandline name="ifcl"/>
                <RestrictToRepacking name="rtr" />
                Restrict to residues within a distance and vector cutoff of the protein-protein interface
                <RestrictToInterfaceVector name="rtiv" chain1 num="1,2" chain2 num="3,4" CB dist cutoff="10.0"</pre>
nearby atom cutoff="5.5" vector angle cutoff="75" vector dist cutoff="9.0" />
                Fix residues known experimentally to be critical in interaction
                <PreventResiduesFromRepacking name="prfrp" residues="11,41,345" />
        </TASKOPERATIONS>
        <MOVERS>
                MINIMIZATION MOVERS
                Single cycle of FastRelax to minimize backbone of docking partners
                <FastRelax name="minimize interface" scorefxn="REF2015" repeats="1" task_operations="ifcl,rtr,</pre>
rtiv,prfrp" />
                DOCKING MOVERS
                <Docking name="dock low" score_low="score docking low" score_high="REF2015" fullatom="0" local</pre>
refine="0" optimize fold tree="1" conserve foldtree="0" ignore default docking task="0" design="0" task opera
tions="ifcl,prfrp" jumps="1"/>
                <Docking name="dock high" score low="score docking low" score high="REF2015" fullatom="1" loca</pre>
l refine="1" optimize fold tree="1" conserve foldtree="0" design="0" task operations="ifcl,prfrp" jumps="1"/>
                <SaveAndRetrieveSidechains name="srsc" allsc="0" /> Speeds the move from centroid to full atom
 mode
        </MOVERS>
        <PROTOCOLS>
                                                                                              = protocol
               Run docking protocol
                <Add mover="dock low"/>
                                                                    name (fix)
                <Add mover="srsc" />
                <Add mover="dock high" />
                                                                     Green
                                                                                 = options (fix)
                Minimize interface
                                                                     "Yellow" = values (edit)
                <Add mover="minimize interface" />
        </PROTOCOLS>
                                                                     White
                                                                                 = comments (edit)
        <OUTPUT scorefxn="REF2015" />
  ROSETTASCRIPTS>
```



## Local Protein Docking - XML file

```
<TASKOPERATIONS>
            MINIMIZATION MOVERS
       DOCKING MOVERS
l refine="1" optimize fold tree="1" conserve foldtree="0" design="0" task operations="ifcl.prfrp" jumps="1"/>
                 PROTOCOL
       Minimize interface
   <OUTPUT scorefxn="REF2015" />
```



### XML file - Protocol

Rosetta will perform the task contained in the protocol section in order:

- 1 dock\_low (low resolution docking)
- 2 srsc (to speed up the step from centroid-mode to full-atom)
- 3 **dock\_high** (high resolution docking)
- 4 minimize\_interface (relax the backbone of the interface)

The information relative to each step can be found in the "movers" section.



### XML file - Movers

```
MINIMIZATION MOVERS
Single cycle of FastRelax to minimize backbone of docking partners
FastRelax name="minimize_interface" scorefxn="REF2015" repeats="1" task_operations="ifcl,rtr,rtiv,prfrp" />

DOCKING MOVERS

DOCKING mame="dock_low" score_low="score_docking_low" score_high="REF2015" fullatom="0" local_refine="0" optimiz
e_fold_tree="1" conserve_foldtree="0" ignore_default_docking_task="0" design="0" task_operations="ifcl,prfrp" jumps="1"/>

DOCKING mame="dock_high" score_low="score_docking_low" score_high="REF2015" fullatom="1" local_refine="1" optimiz
ze_fold_tree="1" conserve_foldtree="0" design="0" task_operations="ifcl,prfrp" jumps="1"/>

SaveAndRetrieveSidechains name="srsc" allsc="0" /> Speeds the move from centroid to full atom mode

4/MOVERS>
```

In the "Movers" section, the order of the tasks is not taken in account. Here we found details regarding the different steps, including for example the scoring functions to be used (score\_docking\_low for the low resolution step, REF2015 for the high resolution step and the interface minimization).

Three out of the four movers recall the "task\_operation" that are defined in the last section of the .xml file.

More information about the available movers can be found online:

https://www.rosettacommons.org/docs/latest/scripting\_documentation/RosettaScripts/Movers/Movers-RosettaScripts



### XML file - Task Operation

The task operation section defines other useful commands:

**InitializeFromCommandline** -> accept option from the commandline

**RestrictToRepacking** -> necessary to avoid re-design of interface

residues

**RestrictToInterfaceVector** -> restrict to residues at the interface, based on

distance and vector cut-off.

**PreventResiduesFromRepacking** -> experimentally relevant residues will not repack

More information about different Task Operation can be found online:

https://www.rosettacommons.org/docs/latest/scripting\_documentation/RosettaScripts/TaskOperations/TaskOperations-RosettaScripts



## Local Protein Docking - Option file

```
-docking
                                                  # the docking option group
         -partners AB HL
                                                  # set rigid body docking partners
         -dock pert 3 8
                                                  # set coarse perturbation parameters (degrees and angstroms)
                                                  # refinement translational perturbation
         -dock mcm trans magnitude 0.1
         -dock mcm rot magnitude 5.0
                                                  # refinement rotational perturbation
6 -s 3gbm HA 3gbn Ab.pdb
                                                  # input model
-run:max retry job 10
                                                  # if the mover fails, retry 50 times
8 -use input sc
                                                  # add the side chains from the input pdb to the rotamer library
                                                  # increase rotamer bins to include mean +- 1 standard deviation
 -ex1
 -ex2
                                                  # increase rotamer bins to include mean +- 2 standard deviations
                                                  # out option group
 -out
                                                  # out:file option group
         -file
                 -scorefile docking.fasc
                                                  # the name of the model score file
 -score:weights ref2015.wts
                                                  # Set ref2015 as default score function
```

In the option file we can find importan information such as:

```
- the binding partners (-partners AB HL)
```

- the input structure (-s 3gbm\_HA\_3gbn\_Ab.pdb)
- the rotation and translation values (-dock pert 3 8)
- the output file (-scorefile docking.fasc)

More information about different options can be found online:

https://www.rosettacommons.org/docs/latest/full-options-list




## The protein-protein docking tutorial:

The antibody CR6261 binds to multiple subtypes of influenza antigen hemagglutinin (HA). In the crystal structure 3gbm, the antibody is bound to the sub-type H5N1, while in the structure 3gbn it is bound to H1N1.

The sequence of the antibody is the same in the two structures, but the conformations are slightly different.

Here we will perform a cross-docking experiment, in which we will dock the CR6261 protein from 3gbn to the H5N1 structure of 3gbm.





### The protein-protein docking tutorial:

### **Input Preparation:**

- Download the pdbs
- Clean the pdbs
- Close a chain-break
- Repack the structures
- Orient the structures

### **Rosetta Docking:**

- Generate docking models
- Minimize the native structure for comparison

### **Analysis of the Outputs:**

- Perform Interface Analyzer
- Plot RMSD vs Total Energy
- Plot RMSD vs Binding Energy



# Bibliography - Docking:

- Gray, J. J.; Moughon, S.; Wang, C.; Schueler-Furman, O.; Kuhlman, B.; Rohl, C. A.; Baker, D., Protein-protein docking with simultaneous optimization of rigid-body displacement and side-chain conformations. *Journal of molecular biology* **2003**, *331* (1), 281-99.
- Daily, M. D.; Masica, D.; Sivasubramanian, A.; Somarouthu, S.; Gray, J. J., CAPRI rounds 3-5 reveal promising successes and future challenges for RosettaDock. *Proteins* 2005, 60 (2), 181-6.
- Chaudhury, S.; Sircar, A.; Sivasubramanian, A.; Berrondo, M.; Gray, J. J., Incorporating biochemical information and backbone flexibility in RosettaDock for CAPRI rounds 6-12. *Proteins* 2007, 69 (4), 793-800.
- Sivasubramanian, A.; Sircar, A.; Chaudhury, S.; Gray, J. J., Toward high-resolution homology modeling of antibody Fv regions and application to antibody-antigen docking. *Proteins* 2009, 74 (2), 497-514.
- Sircar, A.; Chaudhury, S.; Kilambi, K. P.; Berrondo, M.; Gray, J. J., A generalized approach to sampling backbone conformations with RosettaDock for CAPRI rounds 13-19. *Proteins* 2010, 78 (15), 3115-23.
- Sircar, A.; Gray, J. J., SnugDock: paratope structural optimization during antibody-antigen docking compensates for errors in antibody homology models. *PLoS computational biology* **2010**, *6* (1), e1000644.
- Chaudhury, S., Berrondo, M., Weitzner, B. D., Muthu, P., Bergman, H., Gray, J. J.; Benchmarking and analysis of protein docking performance in RosettaDock v3.2. *PLoS One* **2011**.
- Marze, N. A., Jeliazkov, J. R., Roy Burman, S. S., Boyken, S. E., DiMaio, F., Gray, J. J.; **Modeling oblong proteins and water-mediated interfaces with RosettaDock in CAPRI rounds 28-35**. *Proteins* **2016**, 85(3):479-486.

